



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/657,644	09/08/2003	Rudolf J. Dams	57952US002	2822
32692	7590	01/30/2009		
3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY PO BOX 33427 ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427				EXAMINER SERGET, RABON A
		ART UNIT 1796		PAPER NUMBER ELECTRONIC
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
01/30/2009		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

LegalUSDocketing@mmm.com  
LegalDocketing@mmm.com

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/657,644	DAMS ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Rabon Sergent	1796	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Rabon Sergent. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Kathleen Gross. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 27 January 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 3-11, 13-16, 22-25, and 33-36.

Identification of prior art discussed: Moore et al. ('272) and WO 02/30848.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Ms. Gross indicated that the amendment of January 26, 2009 addresses the prior art rejections by deleting the carboxyl group from the definition of T and T'. Ms. Gross further indicated that the 35 USC 112, first paragraph rejection has been addressed by discussing how the specification supports the species "dihydroxyalkyl". The examiner indicated that the arguments would be fully considered when the amendment is due for action.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Rabon Sergent/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796